



TITLE:

Nonlinear Equations of the Thomas-Fermi Type (Nonlinear Functional Analysis)

AUTHOR(S):

BREZIS, HAIM

CITATION:

BREZIS, HAIM. Nonlinear Equations of the Thomas-Fermi Type (Nonlinear Functional Analysis). 数理解析研究所講究録 1981, 428: 1-10

ISSUE DATE:

1981-06

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/102648>

RIGHT:

Nonlinear equations of the Thomas-Fermi type.

Haïm BREZIS

Université de Paris VI

We shall report on various recent works by E. Lieb - B. Simon [7] , Ph. Benilan - H. Brezis [2] , H. Brezis - E. Lieb [5] , H. Brezis - L. Veron [6] , L. Veron [8] , R. Benguria - H. Brezis - E. Lieb [1] related to the Thomas - Fermi equation. For a function $\rho(x) : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ we define the functional

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\rho) = & \int \rho^{5/3}(x) \, dx - \int V(x) \rho(x) \, dx \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \iint \frac{\rho(x) \rho(y)}{|x - y|} \, dx dy \end{aligned}$$

where $V(x)$ is a given measurable function. Let

$$K = \left\{ \rho \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3) ; \rho \geq 0 \text{ a.e. and } \int \rho(x) \, dx = I \right\}$$

where $I > 0$ is fixed.

The Thomas -Fermi (T.F.) problem is the following:

$$(1) \quad \min_{\rho \in K} \mathcal{E}(\rho)$$

The unknown $\rho(x)$ to be determined represents a probability density of Fermions. Of special interest in quantum mechanics is the particular case where $V(x)$ is a Coulomb potential,

$$V(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{m_i}{|x - a_i|} \quad (m_i > 0, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{R}^3);$$

here, the system consists of k positive nuclei of charge m_i ,

placed at the points a_i in space and surrounded by a cloud of Fermions with density ρ .

We first recall an important result due to Lieb - Simon [7]:

Theorem 1 Assume

$$V(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{m_i}{|x - a_i|} \quad \text{and set } I_0 = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i.$$

Then

- (a) If $0 < I \leq I_0$, problem (1) has a unique solution.
- (b) If $I > I_0$, problem (1) has no solution.
- (c) If $I < I_0$, the solution of (1) has compact support.

In what follows we consider Problem (1) with a more general functional \mathcal{E} ; namely

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\rho) = & \int j(\rho(x)) dx - \int V(x) \rho(x) dx \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \iint \frac{\rho(x) \rho(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy \end{aligned}$$

where $j(\rho)$ is a C^1 convex function such that $j(0) = j'(0) = 0$ and $V(x)$ is an arbitrary function—not just a Coulomb potential. The Euler "equation" corresponding to (1) is the following :

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} \rho \in K, \\ j'(\rho) - V + B_\rho = -\lambda & \text{on the set } [\rho > 0], \\ j'(\rho) - V + B_\rho \geq -\lambda & \text{on the set } [\rho = 0], \end{cases}$$

where λ is a constant — the Lagrange multiplier arising from the constraint $\int \rho = I$ — and $B_\rho = \frac{1}{|x|} * \rho$.

Problem (2) consists of finding a constant λ and a function

ρ for which (2) holds. In [2] (see also [4]) one shows that if ρ is a solution of (1) then ρ is a solution of (2)

[under no restrictions]. Conversely if ρ is a solution of (2) and if

$$(3) \quad j^*(V - C) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \quad \text{for some constant } C,$$

then ρ is a solution of (1). Here $j^*(t) = \sup_{s \geq 0} \{ts - j(s)\}$

denotes the conjugate convex function of j . Observe that if $j(\rho) = \rho^p$, and $V(x)$ is a Coulomb potential, then (3) holds only when $p > \frac{3}{2}$. In fact when $p \leq \frac{3}{2}$, then $\inf_K \mathcal{E} = -\infty$; assumption (3) is imposed essentially in order to guarantee that $\inf_K \mathcal{E} > -\infty$.

Our main results — which extends Theorem 1 — is the following.

Theorem 2 Assume

$$(4) \quad V \in \frac{1}{|x|} * L^1 \quad (\text{i.e. } \Delta V \in L^1 \text{ and } V(x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ at infinity in some "weak" sense}).$$

$$(5) \quad V > 0 \text{ on a set of positive measure.}$$

Then :

(A) There exists a critical value I_0 , $0 < I_0 < \infty$, depending on j and V such that

(a) If $0 < I \leq I_0$, Problem (2) has a unique solution.

(b) If $I > I_0$, Problem (2) has no solution.

(B) Assume $I < I_0$, and $V(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ in the usual sense [or $I = I_0$ and $|x|V(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$]

then the solution ρ of (2) has compact support.

(C) Assume

$$(6) \quad j(\rho) \sim \rho^p \quad \text{for } \rho \sim 0 \text{ with } p \geq 4/3,$$

$$\text{then} \quad \int -\Delta V \leq I_0 \leq \int (-\Delta V)^+.$$

In particular $I_0 = \int -\Delta V$ if $-\Delta V \geq 0$.

(D) Instead of (4), assume now the weaker condition

($\tilde{4}$) $V \in \frac{1}{|x|} * \mathcal{M}$ (\mathcal{M} = space of bounded measures on \mathbb{R}^3).

Suppose also that

(7) $j(\rho) \sim \rho^p$ for $\rho \sim \infty$ with $p > 4/3$.

Then (A), (B), (C) still hold.

Remarks

1) Note that if $V(x)$ is a Coulomb potential, then ($\tilde{4}$) holds, but (4) does not hold.

2) As we shall see later if $j(\rho) \sim \rho^p$ for $\rho \sim \infty$ with $p \leq 4/3$, and V is a Coulomb potential, then (2) has no solution.

Sketch of the proof of Theorem 2

First, observe that in (2) we must have $\lambda \geq 0$. Indeed we have $j'(\rho) - V_+ B_\rho \geq -\lambda$ on \mathbb{R}^3 ; as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, $\rho \rightarrow 0$, $V \rightarrow 0$, $B_\rho \rightarrow 0$ (in a weak sense) and thus $\lambda \geq 0$. We introduce now as new unknown the function

$$u = V - B_\rho$$

so that $-\Delta u = -\Delta V - \rho$ (more precisely $-\Delta B_\rho = 4\pi\rho$, but we shall ignore $4\pi!$). Thus (2) becomes

$$j'(\rho) = u - \lambda \quad \text{on } [\rho > 0]$$

$$j'(\rho) \geq u - \lambda \quad \text{on } [\rho = 0]$$

i.e. $\rho = r(u - \lambda)$ with

$$r(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } t \leq 0 \\ (j')^{-1}(t) & \text{for } t \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

$[(j')^{-1}]$ denotes the reciprocal function of the function j' .

Finally (2) is equivalent to finding a constant $\lambda \geq 0$ and a function u such that

$$(\tilde{2}) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u + \gamma(u - \lambda) = -\Delta V \\ u(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad |x| \rightarrow \infty \\ \int \gamma(u - \lambda) = I \end{cases}$$

In order to solve $(\tilde{2})$ we first freeze $\lambda \geq 0$. For any fixed

$\lambda \geq 0$ there exists a unique solution u_λ of the equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_\lambda + \gamma(u_\lambda - \lambda) = -\Delta V \\ u_\lambda(\infty) = 0 \end{cases}$$

and such that $\gamma(u_\lambda - \lambda) \in L^1$. This follows from a result of [3] :

Lemma 1 (BBC). Assume $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\beta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is any continuous, nondecreasing function with $\beta(0) = 0$. Then there exists a unique u solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \beta(u) = f \\ u(\infty) = 0 \end{cases}$$

with $\beta(u) \in L^1$.

Next, for every $\lambda \geq 0$ we set $I(\lambda) = \int \gamma(u_\lambda - \lambda)$. Problem $(\tilde{2})$ amounts to find a unique $\lambda \geq 0$ such that $I(\lambda) = I$ ($I > 0$ is given). Therefore we must study the function $\lambda \rightarrow I(\lambda)$:

Lemma 2 The function $\lambda \rightarrow I(\lambda)$ is continuous nonincreasing on $[0, \infty)$. It is strictly decreasing on the set $\{\lambda ; I(\lambda) > 0\}$. In addition $I(0) > 0$ and $I(\lambda) \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

For the proof of Lemma 2 we refer to [2], [4]. It is essentially a consequence of the maximum principle. Note that $I(0) > 0$

follows from (5). Indeed suppose $I(0) = 0$, then $\gamma(u_0) = 0$ a.e. and $u_0 \leq 0$ a.e. Thus $-\Delta u_0 = -\Delta V$, and $u_0 = V$ a.e.

—a contradiction with (5). Assertion (A) in Theorem 2 can be obtained from Lemma 2 with $I_0 = I(0)$.

Proof of Assertion (B)

Given $0 < I < I_0$ we have a unique $\lambda > 0$ such that $I(\lambda) = I_0$ and $\rho = \mathcal{I}(u_\lambda - \lambda)$. Since $\mathcal{I} \geq 0$ we have $-\Delta u_\lambda \leq -\Delta V$ and by the maximum principle $u_\lambda \leq V$. Therefore $\rho \leq \mathcal{I}(V - \lambda)$ and ρ has compact support since $V(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. When $I = I_0$, we have $\lambda = 0$ and $\rho = \mathcal{I}(u_0)$ with $-\Delta u_0 + \mathcal{I}(u_0) = -\Delta V$. Suppose $\int \mathcal{I}(u_0) > 0$ (otherwise $\rho = \mathcal{I}(u_0) \equiv 0$).

Choose R such that $\int_{|x| < R} \mathcal{I}(u_0) > 0$ and let

$$1_R(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x| < R \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| \geq R. \end{cases}$$

Then $-\Delta u_0 + \mathcal{I}(u_0)1_R \leq -\Delta V$ and so $u_0 \leq V - \frac{1}{|x|} * (\mathcal{I}(u_0)1_R)$.

As $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, $\frac{1}{|x|} * \mathcal{I}(u_0)1_R \sim \frac{C}{|x|}$ where $C = \int_{|x| < R} \mathcal{I}(u_0)$.

Since $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x| V(x) = 0$, it follows that $u_0 \leq 0$ far out and

thus $\rho = \mathcal{I}(u_0) = 0$ far out.

Proof of Assertion (C).

We have $-\Delta u_0 + \mathcal{I}(u_0) = -\Delta V$. It follows from a result of [3] that $\int \mathcal{I}(u_0)^+ \leq \int (-\Delta V)^+$ (here no assumption about \mathcal{I} is needed). On the other hand we have $\int -\Delta u_0 + I_0 = \int -\Delta V$ and so we have to show that $\int \Delta u_0 \geq 0$. Suppose by contradiction

that $\int \Delta u_0 < 0$. It follows that (in some weak sense)

$u_0(x) \sim \frac{C}{|x|}$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ with $C = - \int \Delta u_0 > 0$.

Hence $\mathcal{I}(u_0) \sim \mathcal{I}\left(\frac{C}{|x|}\right)$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand

if $j(\rho) \sim \rho^p$ as $\rho \rightarrow 0$ with $p \geq 4/3$, then

$\mathcal{I}\left(\frac{C}{|x|}\right) \notin L^1(|x| > 1)$ — a contradiction.

Proof of Assertion (D).

Using the same approach as above we must first solve the equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \mathcal{I}(u - \lambda) = -\Delta v \\ u(\infty) = 0 \end{cases}$$

for fixed λ , with Δv a measure. This is not always possible and we have to impose some restriction about the behavior of \mathcal{I} at infinity. The analogue of BBC lemma for measures is the following.

Lemma 3 Let $\beta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous nondecreasing function with $\beta(0) = 0$ and

$$(8) \quad \beta\left(\pm \frac{1}{|x|}\right) \in L^1(|x| < 1)$$

Then for every $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$, there exists a unique u solution of

$$(9) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u + \beta(u) = \mu & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^3 \\ u(\infty) = 0 \end{cases}$$

For the proof of Lemma 3, see [2] or [4]. Replacing BBC Lemma by Lemma 3 we may now proceed with the same proof as above. Note that (8) is satisfied when $j(\rho) \sim \rho^p$ as $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ with $p > 4/3$.

Discussion of Lemma 3.

Assumption (8) is, in some sense, necessary for the solvability of (9). We may understand this in two ways :

(a) Suppose that $\mu = \delta$ = Dirac mass at 0 and suppose that (9) has a solution. Near $x = 0$, $\beta(u)$ is negligible compared to δ and thus $-\Delta u$ "feels" only δ .

Therefore, $u(x) \sim \frac{1}{|x|}$ as $|x| \rightarrow 0$. Hence $\beta(u) \sim \beta\left(\frac{1}{|x|}\right)$ near $x = 0$ and we must have $\beta\left(\frac{1}{|x|}\right) \in L^1(|x| < 1)$.

(b) Suppose that $\mu = \delta$ and (for simplicity) that

$\beta(u) = u^q$. Suppose that (9) has a solution u . Set

$\Omega = \{ x ; |x| < 1 \}$. In particular $u \in L^q_{loc}(\Omega \setminus \{0\})$

and satisfies

$$-\Delta u + u^q = 0,$$

in the sense of distributions in $D'(\Omega \setminus \{0\})$. Such functions have been studied in [5],[6],[8]. The results are the following:

(i) If $q \geq 3$, then $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ and satisfies $-\Delta u + u^q = 0$

in Ω . In particular, it is impossible to have in Ω a

solution of $-\Delta u + u^q = \delta$. The conclusion can also be

expressed in the following way : "every isolated singularity

of the equation $-\Delta u + u^q = 0$ is removable."

(ii) If $1 < q < 3$, then u may have a singularity at 0 . The

nature of the singularity can be completely described :

(α) either u is C^2 at 0

(β) or $u(x) \sim \frac{C}{|x|}$ as $|x| \rightarrow 0$, where $C > 0$

is an arbitrary constant

(γ) or $u(x) \sim \frac{C_q}{|x|^{\frac{2}{q-1}}}$ as $|x| \rightarrow 0$ where

$$C_q = \left[\left(\frac{2}{q-1} \right) \left(\frac{2q}{q-1} - 3 \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{q-1}}.$$

Such results show the importance of the study of singular

solutions of nonlinear partial differential equations. A number

of recent works have been devoted to this subject :

Gidas - Spruck (and Caffarelli) for singular solutions of

$-\Delta u = u^q$, Uhlenbeck for singular solutions of Yang - Mills

equations, Brezis - Friedman for singular solutions of nonlinear

heat equations etc...

We conclude by mentioning a modification of the Thomas - Fermi

problem studied in [1]. We consider Problem (1) with

$$\mathcal{E}(\rho) = \int |\nabla \sqrt{\rho}|^2 + \frac{1}{p} \int \rho^p - \int V\rho + \frac{1}{2} \iint \frac{\rho(x)\rho(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy .$$

Here $V(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{m_i}{|x - a_i|}$, $m_i > 0$, $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

The correction term $\int |\nabla \sqrt{\rho}|^2$ has been proposed by Van Weizsacker; we refer to this problem as Problem TFW. The main result of [1] is the following :

Theorem 3 There is a critical value I_c such that

- (a) If $0 < I \leq I_c$, there is a unique solution of Problem TFW.
- (b) If $I > I_c$, there is no solution of TFW.
- (c) When $p \geq 4/3$, then $I_c \geq I_0 = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i$.
- (d) When $p \geq 5/3$ and $k = 1$, then $I_c > I_0$.

Remarks

- 1) A major difference between TF and TFW is that, even for $I < I_c$ the solution ρ of TFW does not have compact support.
- 2) It would be interesting to determine whether $I_c > I_0$ when $p \geq 5/3$ and $k \geq 2$ (molecular case).

References

- [1] R. Benguria - H. Brezis - E. Lieb, The Thomas - Fermi - Von Weizsäcker theory of atoms and molecules, Comm. Math. Physics, to appear .
- [2] P. Benilan - H. Brezis, Detailed paper on the Thomas-Fermi equation, to appear.
- [3] P. Benilan - H. Brezis - M. Crandall, A semilinear equation in L^1 , Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa 2 (1975), p.523-555.
- [4] H. Brezis, Some Variational Problems of the Thomas-Fermi type, in Variational Inequalities and Complementarity Problems Cottle, Giannessi, Lions ed. Wiley (1980) p.53 - 73.
- [5] H. Brezis - E. Lieb, Long range atomic potentials in Thomas - Fermi theory, Comm. Math. Phys. 65 (1979) p.231 - 246.
- [6] H. Brezis - L. Veron, Removable singularities for some nonlinear elliptic equations, Archive Rat. Mech. Anal. 75 (1980) p.1 - 6.
- [7] E. Lieb - B. Simon, The Thomas - Fermi theory of atoms, molecules and solids, Adv. Math. 23 (1977) p.22 - 116.
- [8] L. Veron, Singular solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations, Nonlinear Analysis 5 (1981) p.225 - 242 and C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris 288 (1979) p.867 - 869.

Département de Mathématiques
 Université Paris 6
 4 pl. Jussieu
 75230 Paris Cedex 05